	Apı	DICATION NO.	Applicant(s)		
Examiner-Initiated Interview Sumn	mary 09/8	398,040	YOON ET AL.		
Examinor minuted interview dum	Exa	miner	Art Unit		
	Jos	eph D. Torres	2133		
All Participants:	Status of Application: Response to Non-Final				
(1) <u>Joseph D. Torres</u> .	<u>seph D. Torres</u> . (3)				
(2) <u>Daniel Kim</u> .	(4)				
Date of Interview: 7 July 2005	Interview: 7 July 2005 Time: 1pm				
	☐ Applicant's r ☑ No	epresentative)			
If Yes, provide a brief description: N/A.					
Part I.					
Rejection(s) discussed: N/A					
Claims discussed: N/A					
Prior art documents discussed: N/A					
Part II.					
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: A telephone call was made to Daniel Kim on 7/7/2005 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.					
Part III.					
 ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a directly resulted in the allowance of the appl of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a did not result in resolution of all issues. A bri 	ication. The example separate record	niner will provide a writte of the substance of the	en summary of the interview, since	ne substance	
JOSEFH TORRES					
TO THE RESERVE OF THE PARTY OF	7				
(Examiner/SPE Signature)	(Applicant/Appli	cant's Representative Sig	gnature – if appro	opriate)	
U.S. Patent and Wademark Office PTOL-413B (04-03) Examin	er Initiated Interview	Summary	Paper No. 20050	712	